1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)			
4) NO. H-15-CR-402			
5) February 6, 2017 SIMONE SWENSON)			
6	SIMONE SWENSON)			
7				
8	HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS			
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LYNN N. HUGHES			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	For the Government: Tina Ansari, AUSA Suzanne Elmilady, AUSA			
15	U. S. Attorney's Office 1000 Louisiana, Suite 2300			
16	Houston, TX 77002			
17	For the Defendant: Charlotte Herring, AFPD Peter Bray, AFPD			
18	Federal Public Defender P.O. Box 61508			
19	Houston, TX 77208			
20	Court Reporter: Bruce Slavin, RPR, CMR			
21				
22				
23				
24	Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography and produced			
25	by computer-aided transcription.			

	1	THE COURT: Good morning.
	2	MS. ANSARI: Good morning.
	3	THE COURT: Does the government have a response to
	4	the motion to dismiss?
11:11	5	MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. In regards to the
	6	exculpatory statements
	7	THE COURT: Use the microphone, please.
	8	MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. In regards to the
	9	main substance of the Defendant's argument about exculpatory
11:11	10	statements from the Defendant
	11	THE COURT: Well, I don't know about their
	12	arguments. I know that there was a report which has
	13	defensive uses that was not produced.
	14	MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. I became aware of
11:11	15	the Montgomery police report on Friday.
	16	THE COURT: Well, how did you becomes aware of it
	17	on Pull that microphone down. Not the top of it. Ma'am,
	18	you have got to get the base down the slope. Now lift it
	19	up.
11:11	20	MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: There you go. Just like Taylor Swift.
	22	MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: How is it that a police report from
	24	Metropolitan Houston about the Defendant was not part of the
11:12	25	government's investigation?

1 It was, Your Honor. Ms. Brooks had it MS. ANSARI: 2 in her possession. When I spokes to her about it on Friday 3 after I got them and after I spoke to the Defendant, she said she had e-mailed it to me like two or three years ago. 4 5 I'm sure she did. It is my mistake, Your Honor. I don't 11:12 6 ever remember opening the e-mail or downloading the 7 documents. I never had them in my file. The first time I 8 personally saw them --9 THE COURT: You did have it in your file. Once it 11:12 10 comes under your control it's --11 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. Again, it is my 12 mistake. I am at fault for not knowing they existed even though they were in my e-mail. 13 I forwarded them to them -- to Ms. Herring. 14 15 Now, in those statements are complaints by 11:12 16 family members that gave statements similar to Ms. Brooks, 17 which I gave the statements. They're repetitious. And it 18 did have a written statement by the Defendant about being 19 scammed by a birth mother that has nothing to do with what 20 she's charged with in that Tammy Parker indictment. So, her 11:13 21 being scammed has nothing to do with what is alleged in the 22 indictment as far as why she's quilt of fraud. 23 THE COURT: That's not what Ms. Herring says. 24 MS. ANSARI: Right. And that is exactly the point 25 I am here today, is it is not exculpatory. Just because you 11:13

1 rob a bank and then get robbed after you rob the bank 2 doesn't make you not quilty of robbing the bank, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: That's not the argument. 4 MS. ANSARI: Well, that's an analogy to the point. 5 THE COURT: No. If her defensive argument is that 11:13 6 she didn't know what they were doing and the statement that 7 is before the indictment or anything where she tells law enforcement officers that this woman was misleading 8 9 everybody --11:14 10 MS. ANSARI: Your Honor, the Defendant is charged 11 with sending e-mails and getting money for --12 THE COURT: I know what she's charged with. 13 don't get to decide whether it's exculpatory. 14 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I get to decide whether it's admissible 11:14 16 as potentially exculpatory. 17 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Defendants get to testify if they want 19 to, but they don't get to be believed. That's a different 20 question entirely. 11:14 21 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 22 And another thing I'd like to point out is 23 that I have had numerous discussions with defense counsel 24 about Tammy Parker being a scammer from Day 1 when I 25 explained the indictment. This is not a surprise. The fact 11:14

1 that Tammy Parker is a scammer has been known by everyone 2 involved in this case. 3 THE COURT: It's a contemporaneous statement by the 4 party to the case whom you have chosen to indict and not 5 produce the stuff. 11:15 6 (Counsel confer) 7 Ms. Ansari --8 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: -- if you continue to look away and 11:15 10 tend to other things while I am speaking to you, you will 11 get to go outside and rest. 12 MS. ANSARI: I apologize, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Well, I'd think that was more sincere 14 if this weren't the third time I've told you that. Not this 15 morning. 11:15 16 So, does somebody have a copy of this police 17 report? It's in here? Who are those gentlemen? 18 19 MS. ANSARI: Those are agents with the FBI, Your 11:16 20 Honor. 21 THE COURT: And you're welcome if you're just 22 goofing off. I just saw it said "agents" and figured I 23 might figure out who signed out. 24 There is another defensive use of this that I'm not going to suggest in case nobody else thought of it. 25 11:17

1 "The couple states they are not stable." 2 "Neither parent is working." 3 Is there an independent -- I only see the 4 officer's summary of the statement. 5 MS. HERRING: There is a voluntary statement in 11:20 6 handwriting signed by --7 THE COURT: Have you read this? 8 MS. HERRING: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Do you have anything to add, 11:21 10 Ms. Herring? 11 MS. HERRING: I'm sorry. What was the question? 12 THE COURT: Do you have anything you would like to 13 add? 14 MS. HERRING: I mentioned it in the motion, but when I learned of the existence of this report and this 15 11:21 16 statement and I made a specific request for it, it then came 17 out that the agent had five other police report statements 18 that were made, two of which are -- were made by witnesses 19 that the government has on their witness list for this trial 20 that I received on Friday. 11:21 21 THE COURT: But the government took those 22 statements. 23 MS. HERRING: It was the same situation. 24 Montgomery County detective made reports and then forwarded them to the FBI agent back in 2013. 25 11:22

I believe there's also a sixth. It was 1 2 mentioned in the e-mail, but it was apparently was too large 3 to produce to me on Friday that was going to be brought to 4 court today. I'm not clear on what that is or what witness, if any, it pertains to. 11:22 5 6 THE COURT: Is there an additional report? MS. HERRING: There is a report of a family member 7 8 that is not being used in this trial, but the file was -- I 9 did not get it to her on Friday. 11:22 10 THE COURT: What about a year ago? 11 MS. ANSARI: Again, Your Honor, I will take blame 12 for just realizing that I did not know these Montgomery 13 police reports were in our possession. It is my 14 understanding --15 THE COURT: Wait. If you knew there were 11:22 16 Montgomery police reports you'd go get them, whether you 17 have them or whether Ms. Brooks sent them to you. Your job is to make sure you have everything. 18 19 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 20 This case is now a year and a half old? 11:23 THE COURT: 21 MS. HERRING: Since the indictment, yes, Your 22 Honor. The investigation started at the end of 2013, I 23 believe. 24 THE COURT: So, three years that the government has 25 worked on this. 11:23

MS. HERRING: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Is that right? 3 MS. ANSARI: That is correct, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Do you have anything against Montgomery 5 County? 11:23 6 MS. ANSARI: No, Your Honor, I don't have anything 7 against them, and I -- Honestly, if I had knowledge of those reports -- I have been an open book. I never try to keep 8 9 anything back and if it's -- You know, I get evidence as I 11:24 10 get it and sometimes I don't get everything --11 THE COURT: No. You're supposed to know what 12 you're doing. You're supposed to be the one thinking of 13 stuff and telling Brooks to go get this, go do that, go talk 14 to somebody else. Now, she has plenty of people telling her what to do inside the agency, but your job is to think about 15 11:24 16 and figure out other sources of information. And in this 17 case Swenson has been in the area for some time. 18 more than you did on my law clerk applicants. They're an 19 unreliable bunch. 11:25 20 Do you have one of the other reports, please, 21 ma'am? 22 It was lot simpler when you guys wore dark 23 suits, white shirts and navy ties. 24 MS. BROOKS: I apologize. 25 THE COURT: No, not you. I'm talking about them. 11:25

1 We didn't let girls do it in the old days. 2 MS. HERRING: Your Honor, may I alert you to 3 something while you look at those reports? 4 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 5 So, those are two that pertain to MS. HERRING: 11:26 6 government's witnesses that will be called and they attach 7 statements to the police reports. After reviewing the 8 contents of those statement offered by those witnesses, it 9 clear to me, without going into detail, that they say things different from what was said in the 302s and I believe it 11:27 10 11 constitutes Giglio material that I would also -- Again, I 12 didn't know of the existence of these until Friday, but 13 they're -- I believe we were entitled to them as well. 14 THE COURT: That's pretty clear. Whether they're 15 exculpatory or not, a witness statement, absolutely. 11:27 16 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And who is the person with the very 17 18 large file? 19 MS. BROOKS: It was too -- a large number of 11:28 20 e-mails. It was too large to be e-mailed. But it is a 21 person by the name of Ann O'Connell, who was also a client 22 of Sans Pareil. She is not one of the recently listed in 23 this indictment, but she is somebody who has had several 24 adoptions with the agency. 25 THE COURT: But other clients who were 11:28

contemporaneous might have useful information. 1 2 Have you gone through -- Ms. O'Connell was it? 3 MS. BROOKS: Yes, sir. She was interviewed by the 4 FBI also and that 302 was included in the discovery which 5 was made available to --11:28 6 THE COURT: Did you read the 302? MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 7 8 THE COURT: Did you even rummage around in this 9 too-large-to-e-mail file to see what might be in there? 11:29 10 MS. ANSARI: Well, not the police report file, Your 11 Honor, because, again, I was not aware of it, but it did my 12 own investigation and created my own theory of the case. 13 THE COURT: And what did O'Connell tell you? 14 MS. ANSARI: Well, I didn't deal with Ann O'Connell, Your Honor. I did not speak to her. 15 11:29 16 THE COURT: Which is sort of my point. 17 MS. ANSARI: Well, I did not speak to all the 18 witnesses in our investigation. I just spoke to the ones 19 involving the indictment, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Well, because you chose who to 11:29 21 indictment and who to name in the indictment. That's a 22 circular way of going about this. 23 MS. ANSARI: Right. 24 THE COURT: 'Let's pick these and only look at what these claim their experience is.' 25 11:29

What else is out there that you misplaced or 1 2 didn't think was relevant so you didn't check it at all? 3 MS. ANSARI: Nothing at this point, Your Honor. 4 That's what you told me twice before. THE COURT: 5 It turned out within days not to be true. 11:30 6 MS. ANSARI: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: It's like your bank robber telling me 8 at his third sentencing that he's really sorry and now he 9 understands it was wrong. Let's take a 15-minute recess. 11:31 10 (Brief recess) 11 12 Thank you. Please be seated. THE COURT: 13 The law in this court is rather insistent on 14 what might be called "full disclosure". The cases over the 15 last 60 years or so have addressed the inventiveness of the 12:04 16 government in not doing what it's supposed to do. They give 17 officers' reports but not witness statements, and then they 18 give witness statements but not other things. 19 It's fairly straightforward. If the 12:05 20 government is going to prosecute somebody they have to tell 21 them what the case is against them in detail. 22 Many of the old rules were done in an era 23 where only tax evasion cases were complicated. Most of them 24 involved crimes that involved something physical - bank robbery and smuggling. Now the proliferation of what is 25 12:05

1 sometimes euphemistically called the "information economy" 2 has proliferated documents beyond the authors of the rules 3 in 1939 conception. 4 "Exculpatory" includes impeachment evidence. 5 Most classes of exculpatory evidence do not allow interested 12:06 6 parties, like the government, to decide it's not 7 exculpatory. Frequently I am surprised by what parties in 8 criminal and civil cases on both sides think is useful or 9 impeachment, but that is for a factual evaluation of how 12:06 10 good the evidence is, how effective, once you pass a legal 11 threshold of reliability and cogency. 12 The government has had this case for three 13 That should be more than enough. I didn't go back 14 and count how many get-togethers we have had or -- but I can 15 just note that there are 79 docket entries. 12:07 16 So, I could continue the case for the purpose 17 of allowing the government to prepare its case and to share 18 the information it has. It doesn't have to share 19 everything, but it should at least describe what it knows 12:08 20 that it does not share. 21 There have been, I believe, five continuances 22 by the Defendant, but they were all directly related to 23 preparation, trying to get supplemental records once they 24 found out what the government had. A continuance, however, would be too much delay. This is not a particularly 25 12:08

	1	complicated case, and there is no reason to extend it
	2	farther.
	3	The case will be dismissed.
	4	Ms. Herring, anything else this morning?
12:09	5	Oh. Let me hasten. None of this is a
	6	reflection on the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the
	7	Montgomery County Sheriff's Department. The Bureau responds
	8	wonderfully with guidance from counsel. There is a reason
	9	we don't let FBI agents try cases. I know a lot of you are
12:10	10	lawyers, but you need a trial lawyer for that sort of thing.
	11	It is simply a glitch in the Department of Justice.
	12	Now, Ms. Herring.
	13	MS. HERRING: Yes, Your Honor. Is the dismissal
	14	We'd request a dismissal with prejudice.
12:10	15	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. It's sort of an empty
	16	gesture, isn't it?
	17	MS. HERRING: Yes, Your Honor.
	18	THE COURT: Has limitations run on anything?
	19	MS. HERRING: Your Honor, I believe it would be an
12:10	20	empty gesture. It's circular.
	21	THE COURT: Has limitations run?
	22	MS. HERRING: I'm not sure, Your Honor.
	23	THE COURT: Well, still, to crank it up and take
	24	another three years is unacceptable. The answer to your
12:11	25	question is "Yes, ma'am."

	1	MS. HERRING: Thank you, Your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Ms. Ansari, anything further?
	3	MS. ANSARI: No.
	4	THE COURT: Thank you.
12:11	5	Thank you, officers.
	6	
	7	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
	8	I, BRUCE SLAVIN, certify that the foregoing is a
	9	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
	10	above entitled matter, to the best of my ability.
	11	
	12	<i>s/Bruce Slavin</i> BRUCE SLAVIN, RPR, CMR
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	